IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORTLAND DIVISION

JUDY ANN PLACENCIA,

CV 10-1130-HU

Plaintiff,

OPINION AND ORDER

v.

WORLD SAVINGS BANK, FSB, a/k/a WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., a/k/a WACHOVIA MORTGAGE, f/k/a WACHOVIA MORTGAGE, FSB,

Defendant.

MOSMAN, J.,

On May 12, 2011, Magistrate Judge Hubel issued his Findings and Recommendation ("F&R") [43] in the above-captioned case recommending that the defendant's Motion to Dismiss Complaint [3] be granted.

DISCUSSION

The magistrate judge makes only recommendations to the court, to which any party may file written objections. The court is not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge, but retains responsibility for making the final determination. The court is generally required to make a de novo determination regarding those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). However, the court is not required to review, de novo or under any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the F&R to which no objections are addressed. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). While the level of scrutiny under which I am required to review the F&R

OPINION AND ORDER - 1

depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, I am free to accept, reject, or modify any part of the F&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

Upon review, I agree with Judge Hubel's recommendation, and I ADOPT the F&R [43] as my own opinion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 16 day of June, 2011.

/s/ Michael W.Mosman
MICHAEL W. MOSMAN
United States District Court